

HEXTE DETECTIONS OF HARD X-RAY TAILS IN SCO X-1

Flavio D'Amico^{1,2}, William A. Heindl¹,
Richard E. Rothschild¹, and Duane E. Gruber¹

fdamico@ucsd.edu, wheindl@ucsd.edu, rrothschild@ucsd.edu, dgruber@ucsd.edu

Received _____; accepted _____

¹University of California, San Diego – UCSD
9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0424

²Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais – INPE
Av. dos Astronautas 1758, 12227-010 S.J. dos Campos - SP, Brazil

ABSTRACT

We report the detection of a non-thermal hard X-ray component from Sco X-1 based upon the analysis of 20–220 keV spectra obtained with the HEXTE experiment on-board the *RXTE* satellite. We find that the addition of a power-law component to a thermal bremsstrahlung model is required to achieve a good fit in 5 of 16 observations analyzed. Using PCA data we were able to track the movement of the source along the Z diagram, and we found that the presence of the hard X-ray tail is not confined to a specific Z position. However, we do observe an indication that the power law index hardens with increasing $\dot{M}$, as indicated from the position on the Z diagram. We find that the derived non-thermal luminosities are $\sim 10\%$ of that derived for the brightest of the atoll sources.

Subject headings: binaries:general—stars:individual (Scorpius X-1)—stars:neutron—X-rays:stars

1. Introduction

Observations of hard X-ray emission from atoll sources (e.g. Barret, McClintock, & Grindlay 1996; Barret et al. 2000) have revealed the unexpected result that power law spectra extending above 30 keV may not be an exclusive signature of a black hole. *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE)* observations of hard X-ray emission from SAX J1808.4–3658 (Heindl & Smith 1998) demonstrated that hard X-ray flux is also present in other types of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). These observations refined the role of hard X-ray emission in distinguishing between neutron star and black hole binaries.

Scorpius X-1 is a high luminosity LMXB Z source, where the primary is a neutron

star with a low magnetic field. The presence of a non-thermal component in Sco X-1 has been suggested (e.g., Peterson & Jacobson 1966; Riegler, Bolt, & Serlemitsos 1970; Agrawal et al. 1971; Haymes et al. 1972; Duldig et al. 1983) and the absence of such a component has also been reported (e.g., Lewin, Clark, & Smith 1967; Buselli et al. 1968; Jain et al. 1973; Johnson et al. 1980). In fact, high sensitivity searches have failed to detect such a component, placing strong upper limits on the non-thermal flux (e.g., Greenhill et al. 1979; Rothschild et al. 1980; Soong & Rothschild 1983). More recently, however, Strickman & Barret (2000) have reported the presence of a hard X-ray tail in Sco X-1 using *CGRO*/OSSE.

We used *RXTE* observations from the public database to search for hard X-ray tails in Sco X-1 spectra. Sco X-1 has been observed with *RXTE* several times, and our data sample (1997 April to 1999 July) contains 185,672 s of Proportional Counter Array (PCA) on-source time and more than 100 ks of on-source live-time in the High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE). We describe data selection and analysis in § 2, results in § 3, discuss the detection of a non-thermal component in § 4, and present our conclusions in § 5.

2. Data Selection and Analysis

In this work we used data from HEXTE to search for hard X-ray tails in Sco X-1 in the ~ 20 – 220 keV interval, and data from the PCA to determine the Z position of Sco X-1 in the color-color diagram. The PCA (Jahoda et al. 1996) is a set of 5 identical Xenon proportional counters covering the energy range 2–60 keV with a total area of ~ 7000 cm². The HEXTE (Rothschild et al. 1998) consists of two clusters of 4 NaI(Tl)/CsI(Na) phoswich scintillation counters totaling ~ 1600 cm² of effective area in the 15–250 keV energy range. Each cluster rocks between source and two background fields to measure the instrumental background in near real time. The PCA background is estimated from particle rates and

the known diffuse X-ray flux. The PCA and HEXTE share a common 1° full width at half maximum field of view. Pertinent to this work, the HEXTE continuum sensitivity at 100 keV, for ~ 5 kseconds of live-time, is ~ 13 mCrab (3σ , scaled to in-flight backgrounds from the predictions of Gruber et al. 1996).

We selected those observations from the *RXTE* public database (as of 2000 April) in which $\gtrsim 5000$ s of HEXTE total on-source time is available, in order to achieve good sensitivity at high energies. Since some observations did not point directly at Sco X-1 (in order to reduce the very high counting rate), we have also discarded observations for which *RXTE* pointing offsets were larger than 0.01° . These criteria resulted in selection of the 16 observations displayed in Table 1. The resulting accumulated on-source HEXTE live-time is 104,238 s.

2.1. Data Analysis

We performed our analysis using HEXTE **Archive Histogram Mode** data, which are accumulated every 16 s, have spectral information in 64 compressed channels, and are present for all observations, independent of selected Science Mode.

The data analysis was performed using software from **FTOOLS 5.0**. For each observation, we divided the data into subsets according to position on the Z diagram (see below and Table 1). We then accumulated individual source and background count histograms for HEXTE clusters A and B and added them to form a single HEXTE source and background histogram for each subset. Response matrices for HEXTE A and B were generated and added (with weights of 0.57 and 0.43 respectively, according to their effective areas).

In order to be confident that any high energy excesses were real, we tailored our data

selection to optimize background subtraction. We verified that any remaining systematic errors as a result of our procedure were small and did not affect the results. We followed the standard practices extensively studied for HEXTE background subtraction by us and other workers (Rothschild et al. 1998; MacDonald 1999). First, we selected only data taken more than 15 minutes after satellite passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). This allows any short-lived radioactive species produced in the detector material to decay and ensures that the systematic error of our linearly interpolated background estimate is $\lesssim 0.02\%$ of the background. We verified this process by comparing – for each cluster – the spectra from the two separate background fields which are sampled alternately during observations. In each case, subtracting these spectra showed no excess emission, giving us confidence in the on-source background estimate. This also verified that there were no confusing sources in the background fields. We note, too, that none of the Sco X-1 spectra show evidence for the prominent instrumental background lines at ~ 30 , 70, 160 and 190 keV (Rothschild et al. 1998) which we would expect to be apparent if there were over or under-subtracted backgrounds. Even though there was no obvious sign of residual background features, we allowed the normalization of the background spectra to vary in our fitting procedure. As expected, the fitted adjustment to the background level was always $\lesssim 1\%$. Even with this added degree of freedom, the significance of the detected high energy tails remained essentially unchanged. This also verified that live-times were calculated accurately, as systematic errors in the exposure would lead to incorrect background subtraction. Finally, at 100 keV (see Figure 1), the Sco X-1 hard flux is of the order of 0.02 counts/s/keV, while the HEXTE background was typically 0.23 counts/s/keV. Thus, our measurements are at level of $\sim 10\%$ of the background. MacDonald (1999) showed that our background subtraction method, even for very long integrations, is free of systematic errors at a level of $\sim 0.05\%$ of the background. A more detailed discussion of HEXTE background systematics is given in Gruber et al. (1996).

We used the PCA data in order to constrain the position of Sco X–1 in a color–color diagram. High resolution (~ 4 ms) lightcurves provided power spectra to characterize any quasi-periodic oscillations and/or continuum noise. Low resolution (16 s) lightcurves were used to generate color–color diagrams. We defined soft color as the ratio of the counts in the (5–7)/(3–5) keV energy bands and hard color as the ratio (7–20)/(5–7) keV. For observations 5/6, 12–15, 17/18 and 20/21 (see Table 1) the source was moving between the Normal and Flaring Branches. For those 5 dual–branch observations, we divided each into Normal and Flaring Branch subsets. This then resulted in 21 subsets.

2.2. Spectral Fitting

We used XSPEC 11.0 to fit the 20–220 keV HEXTE spectra with a combination of a *thermal* component plus a *hard* component. To fit the thermal component we tested the following models: thermal Comptonization (e.g. Lamb & Sanford 1979), blackbody, and thermal bremsstrahlung. The thermal bremsstrahlung model returned, in all of our subsets, the smallest χ^2 , and it was utilized to represent the lower energy HEXTE flux.

In the 5 subsets in which we detected the presence of a hard X–ray tail (see next section), a simple power law model, as well as ones with a spectral break, were evaluated. We found that the simple power law model described the hard component well, and it was used to represent the higher energy HEXTE flux. In all 5 subsets with significant non–thermal flux, a high temperature thermal component did not provide an acceptable fit.

3. Results

We developed two criteria to determine the presence of a hard X–ray tail in a particular spectrum: 1) a signal to noise ratio (SNR) ≥ 5 in the 75–220 keV energy range, and 2) an

F–Test null significance for the addition of the hard component at a level of 10^{-7} or less. We claim that we observed a hard X–ray tail **only** when both these criteria were fulfilled. We thus have 5 strong detections of non–thermal flux in Sco X–1 spectra, with the hard X–ray tail extending at least to 220 keV. The spectral parameters derived for those subsets are shown in Table 2. Similarly we defined a strong non–detection when 1) the SNR in the 75–220 keV is < 1 and 2) the F–Test is at a level of $\gtrsim 10^{-5}$. We thus have 4 strong non–detections. We note that several subsets formally have very significant F–Test values, but SNR values < 5 . These typically result from deviations in the 30–50 keV range, possibly due to imperfect modeling of the thermal component. We cannot conclude that these subsets have truly non–thermal emission, although this possibility is open. In Figure 1 we show spectra both from one of our detections and one of our non–detections.

The derived photon indices (Γ) for the 5 subsets where the tail was observed spanned a wide range: from -0.7 to 2.4 , which differs from the OSSE results which have an average of 2.5 (Strickman & Barret 2000). It is suggestive that the two hardest photon indices were obtained when the source was on the flaring branch, and the softest were on the horizontal branch, showing a possible correlation between the hardness of the spectrum and the mass accretion rate $\dot{M}$, which increases in the sequence $\text{HB} \rightarrow \text{NB} \rightarrow \text{FB}$ (e.g., van der Klis 1996). We also note that both times the source was on the HB a hard X–ray tail was detected.

The non–thermal 20–200 keV flux from the 5 detections varied by a factor of ~ 2.5 from $0.63\text{--}1.56 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Table 2). Upper limits to the 20–200 keV flux from the 4 strong non–detections were estimated using a photon index of 1, the mean from the 5 detections. The one non–detection subset with equivalent sensitivity to the detection subsets has a 2σ upper limit to the 20–200 keV flux of $1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This implies at least an order of magnitude in overall variability in the non–thermal component in Sco X–1.

In order to estimate the 2–20 keV luminosity, L_{2-20}^{total} , we used a complex multicomponent model to heuristically fit the PCA spectra. We estimate the uncertainty in the derived flux due to uncertainties in the proper spectral shape to be $\sim 2\%$. Using 2.8 kpc as the distance to Sco X–1 (Bradshaw, Fomalont, & Geldzahler 1999), L_{2-20}^{total} ranges over $2.1\text{--}3.2 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s^{-1} for the 5 detection subsets. Similarly, the range of the 20–200 keV luminosities of the non–thermal component measurements is $L_{20-200}^{nt} = 5.9\text{--}15.0 \times 10^{35}$ ergs s^{-1} . Thus the non–thermal component represents about 1 % of the total flux from Sco X–1.

No correlation between the **presence** of the hard tail and the position of the source in the color–color diagram is indicated, i.e., we have observed the hard X–ray tail in all three branches. In addition the HEXTE thermal component is not correlated with the presence of the hard X–ray tail, i.e., the presence of the hard tail is indicated by neither the temperature nor flux of the HEXTE thermal component.

4. Discussion

From the lack of correlation between the presence of the hard tail and the position of the source in the color–color diagram source it would appear that the hard X–ray tail emission region is not associated with that part of the accretion disk which is believed to be responsible for the QPO behavior (van der Klis et al. 1996). These results also do not support a correlation between the presence of the hard tail and clustering in the color–color diagram, such as mentioned by Strickman & Barret (2000). We also note that this behavior is different from GX 17 + 2, in which a hard tail is correlated with the position in the Z (Di Salvo et al. 2000). Frontera et al. (1998) have also reported the detection of a hard tail in another Z source (Cyg X–2).

Nevertheless we must point out that the observed photon indices may be related to

the position in the Z diagram. The hardest observed photon indices are in the FB and the softest ones in the HB. From this point of view the production of hard X-ray photons may be correlated with the accretion rate at the inner part of the disk. Motivated by the observation that Sco X-1 is a variable radio source (e.g., Fender & Hendry 2000), we considered whether or not the hard X-ray emission could be explained in terms of synchrotron emission. While the measured photon indices are consistent with this idea for the HB and NB, the ones measured when the source was in the FB (consistent with 0) are not, since it would require an inverted distribution of relativistic electrons. Similarly, the HB and NB spectra could result from Comptonization, but the FB power law indices are again too hard.

A difference between atoll sources and Sco X-1 is also seen in the soft X-ray luminosity. The atoll source hard tails appear when the 2–20 keV luminosity is relatively low, $< 10^{37} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$; whereas the soft flux in Sco X-1 is essentially at the Eddington limit ($\sim 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$). It is also interesting to note that some models used to explain the hard X-ray emission of atoll LMXBs (e.g. Mitsuda et al. 1989; Hanawa, 1990) are applicable in a low luminosity state up to $\sim 20 \text{ keV}$. This, however, is not the case of our observations. On the other hand, Sco X-1 may be similar to some atoll sources in the luminosity of the power law component. The Sco X-1 power law luminosity in the 20–200 keV range is comparable to the weaker atoll source hard X-ray luminosities reported by Barret, McClintock, & Grindlay (1996).

Distinguishing neutron stars from black holes remains a key goal in high energy astrophysics. Recently it has been argued (Barret et al. 2000) that the luminosities in the 1–20 keV (defined as L_x) and 20–200 keV (defined as L_{hx}) bands could be used to distinguish between black hole and low state neutron star systems displaying hard X-ray emission. In their argument, the critical luminosity, $L_{crit} = 1.5 \times 10^{37} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$, is the dividing line

between neutron star and black hole binaries with the neutron star systems emitting $L_{hx} \sim L_x$ when $L_x \lesssim L_{crit}$. Since Sco X–1 is emitting Eddington–level soft fluxes, we cannot test that idea. On the other hand, our observed L_{hx} does not contradict the idea pointed out by Barret et al. (2000) that only black hole binaries can have both L_x and L_{hx} above L_{crit} , since our measured L_{hx} is below the L_{crit} value (see Table 1).

5. Conclusion

We have observed significant detections of a non–thermal component in Sco X–1 on 5 out of 21 occasions with HEXTE on-board *RXTE*. We also have found that the presence of the hard X–ray tail is not associated with a particular position in the color–color diagram, but that the photon indices, instead, may be correlated with the position on the Z diagram, albeit with a very limited number of examples. We inferred that the non–thermal power law flux of the source varied by over an order of magnitude comparing our detections with the non–detections, and by a factor of ~ 3 in the 5 cases where the presence of the hard X–ray tail was strongly indicated. Our observed 20–200 keV luminosities are in agreement with only black hole binaries emitting hard X–rays with luminosity $\gtrsim 1.5 \times 10^{37}$ ergs s $^{-1}$.

This research has made use of data obtained through the HEASARC, provided by the NASA/GSFC. FD gratefully acknowledges FAPESP/Brazil for financial support under grant 99/02352-2. FD acknowledges Stefan Dieters, Wayne Coburn and John Tomsick for helpful discussions. We also thanks an anonymous referee for suggestions. This research was supported by NASA contract NAS5–30720.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, P. C. et al. 1971, Ap&SS, 10, 500
- Barret, D., McClintock, J. E., & Grindlay, J. E. 1996, ApJ, 473, 963
- Barret, D. et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 329
- Bradshaw, C. F., Fomalont, E. B., & Geldzahler, B. J. 1999, ApJ, 512, L11
- Buselli, G. et al. 1968, Nature, 219, 1124
- Di Salvo, T. et al. 2000, ApJL, in press
- Duldig, M. L. et al. 1983, Ap&SS, 95, 137
- Fender, R. P., & Hendry, M. A. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 1
- Frontera, F. et al. 1998, Nucl. Phys. B, 69, 286
- Greenhill, J. G. et al. 1979, MNRAS, 189, 563
- Gruber, D. E. et al. 1996, A&AS, 120, 641
- Hanawa, T. 1990, ApJ, 355, 585
- Haymes, R. C. et al. 1972, ApJ, 172, L47
- Heindl, W., & Smith, D. 1998, ApJ, 506, L35
- Jahoda, K. et al. 1996, Proc. SPIE, 2808, 59
- Jain, A. K. et al. 1973, Ap&SS, 21, 107
- Johnson, W. N. et al. 1980, ApJ, 238, 982
- Lamb, P., & Sanford, P. W. 1979, MNRAS, 288, 555
- Lewin, W. H. G., Clark, G. W., & Smith, W. B. 1967, ApJ, 150, L153
- MacDonald, D. R. 1999, Ph.D. thesis, University of California at Riverside (USA)
- Mitsuda, K. et al. 1989, PASJ, 41, 97

- Peterson, L. E., & Jacobson, A. S. 1966, *ApJ*, 145, 962
- Riegler, G. R., Boldt, E., & Serlemitsos, P. 1970, *Nature*, 226, 1041
- Rothschild, R. E. et al. 1980, *Nature*, 286, 786
- Rothschild, R. E. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 538
- Soong, Y., & Rothschild, R. E. 1983, *ApJ*, 274, 327
- Strickman, M., & Barret, D. 2000, in *AIP Conf. Proc.* 510, *Proceedings of the Fifth Compton Symposium*, ed. M. L. McConnell, & J. M. Ryan (New York: AIP), 222
- van der Klis, M. et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, L1

Table 1: Selected *RXTE* data observations of Sco X-1

OBSID	MJD	Nu.	Z Pos.	Live-time ^a	SNR ^b	F_{test} ^c	Flux ^d	Hard Tail (Y/N)
20053-01-01-00	50556	1	HB	6341	10.6	4.4E-15	$8.57^{+1.52}_{-1.38}$	Y
20053-01-01-02	50558	2	NB	5434	4.2	1.7E-7	$4.05^{+0.57}_{-0.87}$	
20053-01-01-03	50559	3	NB	5896	4.8	3.9E-9	$5.20^{+0.75}_{-0.74}$	
20053-01-01-05	50561	4	NB	1908	0.8	6.2E-5	$6.29^{+1.77}_{-1.77}$	N
20053-01-01-05	50561	5	FB	4593	2.4	7.7E-4	$2.47^{+1.94}_{-1.51}$	
20053-01-01-06	50562	6	NB	8558	7.3	3.5E-13	$8.63^{+0.91}_{-0.90}$	Y
10061-01-03-00	50815	7	NB	5484	4.2	2.7E-9	$5.48^{+0.69}_{-0.74}$	
20053-01-02-00	50816	8	FB	8145	18.6	5.8E-12	$13.6^{+2.0}_{-2.0}$	Y
20053-01-02-03	50819	9	NB	5686	3.5	2.7E-9	$5.91^{+0.96}_{-0.95}$	
30036-01-01-000	50820	10	FB	6858	5.8	3.8E-7	$7.73^{+1.62}_{-1.65}$	Y
20053-01-02-04	50820	11	FB	4193	4.9	3.3E-4	$3.44^{+1.23}_{-1.00}$	
30036-01-02-000	50821	12	NB	4370	2.2	3.6E-4	$4.50^{+0.87}_{-0.90}$	
30036-01-02-000	50821	13	FB	2527	1.8	3.6E-4	$2.83^{+1.05}_{-1.06}$	
30406-01-02-00	50872	14	NB	2326	2.5	1.0E-6	$4.03^{+1.07}_{-1.13}$	
30406-01-02-00	50872	15	FB	3125	< 0	0.08	< 2.20	N
30035-01-01-00	50963	16	HB	6253	6.2	1.2E-14	$3.63^{+0.92}_{-0.88}$	Y
30035-01-03-00	50965	17	NB	3750	1.3	2.4E-8	$3.20^{+0.86}_{-0.89}$	
30035-01-03-00	50965	18	FB	2318	< 0	0.03	< 2.67	N
30035-01-04-00	50966	19	FB	6230	< 0	0.38	< 1.00	N
40706-01-01-000	51433	20	NB	8076	2.8	1.4E-5	$2.09^{+0.55}_{-0.53}$	
40706-01-01-000	51433	21	FB	2167	2.2	1.2E-3	$2.45^{+1.04}_{-1.07}$	

Note. — HB=Horizontal Branch, NB=Normal Branch, FB=Flaring Branch; Nu.= observation number

^atotal live-time for HEXTE, in s

^bsignal to noise ratio in the 75-220 keV energy range

^cprobability that a better fit occurred due to a random chance

^dflux in the 75–220 keV energy range, in units of 10^{-10} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹; apart from the 5 detections of a hard X-ray tail, the photon index used for the non-thermal component was our average value of 1; uncertainties are given at 90 % confidence level

Table 2: Observations of hard X-ray tail detections in Sco X-1

OBSID	Bremsstrahlung		Power-Law		χ^2 (dof)
	kT (keV)	Flux ^a	Γ	Flux ^b	
20053-01-01-00	$4.83^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$9.03^{+0.39}_{-0.36}$	$1.75^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$	$1.56^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$	36.76 (23)
20053-01-01-06	$4.50^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$5.83^{+0.30}_{-0.27}$	$1.64^{+0.29}_{-0.27}$	$0.91^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	24.52 (23)
20053-01-02-00	$4.36^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$7.16^{+0.26}_{-0.24}$	$-0.17^{+0.30}_{-0.33}$	$1.22^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$	29.15 (23)
30036-01-01-000	$4.28^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$9.63^{+0.42}_{-0.41}$	$-0.71^{+0.63}_{-0.70}$	$0.63^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	28.57 (22)
30035-01-01-00	$4.51^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$7.45^{+0.60}_{-0.67}$	$2.37^{+0.33}_{-0.28}$	$1.04^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	24.01 (22)

Note. — uncertainties are given at 90 % confidence level for the derived parameters of the model applied

^aFlux in 20–50 keV range in units of 10^{-9} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹

^bFlux in 20–200 keV interval in units of 10^{-9} ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹

Fig. 1.— Spectrum resulting from a bremsstrahlung + power law fit to data subset 20053-01-02-00 (a), and the result of a bremsstrahlung fit to subset 30035-01-04-00 (b), showing the presence/absence of a hard X-ray tail in Sco X-1. Residuals are given in units of standard deviations (lower panels). In (b) the upper limits are 2σ , including the 60–80 keV bin which experienced a -3σ residual. The χ^2_ν are 1.27 and 1.62 respectively.

